

ON THE LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR SOME SYSTEMS OF COUPLED KDV EQUATIONS

BORYS ALVAREZ-SAMANIEGO¹

Université Bordeaux I; IMB and CNRS UMR 5251
351 Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence Cedex, France

XAVIER CARVAJAL²

Department of Mathematics, IMECC-UNICAMP
C.P. 6065, CEP 13083-970, Campinas, SP, Brazil

ABSTRACT. Using the theory developed by Kenig, Ponce, and Vega, we prove that the Hirota-Satsuma system is locally well-posed in Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $3/4 < s \leq 1$. We introduce some Bourgain-type spaces $X_{s,b}^a$ for $a \neq 0, s, b \in \mathbb{R}$ to obtain local well-posedness for the Gear-Grimshaw system in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > -3/4$, by establishing new mixed-bilinear estimates involving the two Bourgain-type spaces $X_{s,b}^{-\alpha_-}$ and $X_{s,b}^{-\alpha_+}$ adapted to $\partial_t + \alpha_- \partial_x^3$ and $\partial_t + \alpha_+ \partial_x^3$ respectively, where $|\alpha_+| = |\alpha_-| \neq 0$.

MSC: 35A07; 35Q53

Keywords: Hirota-Satsuma system; Gear-Grimshaw system; KdV equation

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with two systems of coupled KdV equations, namely the Hirota-Satsuma system and the Gear-Grimshaw system.

First we consider local well-posedness (LWP) and ill-posedness of the initial value problem (IVP) for the following system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - a(u_{xxx} + 6uu_x) = 2bv v_x, \\ v_t + v_{xxx} + 3uv_x = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad v(0) = v_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

known as the Hirota-Satsuma system which was introduced in [10] to describe the interaction of two long waves with different dispersion relations. Here a, b are real constants, and u, v are real-valued functions of the two real variables x and t . System (1.1) is a set of coupled Korteweg-de Vries (abbreviated KdV henceforth) equations, and it is a generalization of the KdV equation (which is obtained when $v = 0$). The Cauchy problem associated to (1.1), for the real and periodic case, was previously

¹Initially supported by FAPESP/Brazil grant No. 2002/02522-0, and later by CNRS/France.

²Supported by FAPESP under grant No. 2002/08920-7.

Date: October 2005.

studied by P. F. He [9], for $b > 0$, $-1 < a < 0$, and considering Sobolev indices $s \geq 3$. It deserves remark that system (1.1) has the following conserved quantities:

$$V(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1+a}{2} u_x^2 + b v_x^2 - (1+a)u^3 - b u v^2 \right) dx, \quad (1.2)$$

$$F(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(u^2 + \frac{2}{3} b v^2 \right) dx. \quad (1.3)$$

Later, Feng [6] considered the initial value problem for the following system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - a(u_{xxx} + 6uu_x) = 2bvv_x, \\ v_t + v_{xxx} + cvv_x + dvv_x = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad v(0) = v_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

which reduces to the Hirota-Satsuma system when $c = 3$ and $d = 0$, always assuming that $a \neq 0$. LWP of the IVP associated to system (1.4) was obtained, for initial data $(u_0, v_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 1$, with $a + 1 \neq 0$ and $bc > 0$. Moreover, global well-posedness (GWP) for system (1.4) was also proved (see [6]) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 1$, if $-1 < a < 0$ and $bc > 0$.

The second problem we will consider here is related to the local well-posedness of the IVP for the Gear-Grimshaw system given by

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxx} + a_3 v_{xxx} + uu_x + a_1 vv_x + a_2 (uv)_x = 0, \\ b_1 v_t + v_{xxx} + b_2 a_3 u_{xxx} + vv_x + b_2 a_2 uu_x + b_2 a_1 (uv)_x + rv_x = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad v(0) = v_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, and $b_1, b_2 > 0$; $u = u(x, t)$, $v = v(x, t)$ are real-valued functions of the two real variables x and t . System (1.5) was derived in [7] (see also [3] for a very good explanation about the physical context in which this system arises) as a model to describe the strong interaction of two-dimensional, weakly nonlinear, long, internal gravity waves propagating on neighboring pycnoclines in a stratified fluid, where the two waves correspond to different modes. Bona *et al.* [3] proved GWP of the IVP associated to (1.5) with initial data belonging to $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 1$, assuming $r = 0$ and $|a_3| < 1/\sqrt{b_2}$. Later, Ash *et al.* [1] considered GWP of (1.5) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R})$ supposing $r = 0$ and $|a_3| \neq 1/\sqrt{b_2}$ (see Section 3.1-(2)). Further, Saut and Tzvetkov [17] considered GWP of system (3.1) for initial data $(u_0, v_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R})$, assuming that $r \neq 0$ and that the matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1,2\}}$ has real distinct eigenvalues (see Section 3.1-(1)). Recently, Linares and Panthee [15], by using the bilinear estimate of Kenig, Ponce, and Vega [13], showed LWP for system (3.5) with initial data $(u_0, v_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > -3/4$ (see Section 3.1-(2), and Remark 3.1-ii.). Solutions of (1.5) satisfy the following conservation laws:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(u) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u dx, \quad \Phi_2(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v dx, \quad \Phi_3(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (b_2 u^2 + b_1 v^2) dx, \\ \Phi_4(u, v) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(b_2 u_x^2 + v_x^2 + 2b_2 a_3 u_x v_x - b_2 \frac{u^3}{3} - b_2 a_2 u^2 v - b_2 a_1 u v^2 - \frac{v^3}{3} - r v^2 \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We say that the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \vec{u}(t) = F(t, \vec{u}(t)), \\ \vec{u}(0) = \vec{u}_0 \end{cases}$$

is *locally well-posed* in X (Banach space) if there exist $T = T(\|\vec{u}_0\|_X) > 0$ and a unique solution $\vec{u}(t)$ of the corresponding IVP such that

i.) $\vec{u} \in C([-T, T]; X) \cap Y_T = X_T$;

ii.) the mapping data-solution $\vec{u}_0 \mapsto \vec{u}(t)$, from $\{\vec{v}_0 \in X; \|\vec{v}_0\|_X \leq M\}$ into X_T is uniformly continuous for all $M > 0$; i.e.

$$\forall M > 0, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta = \delta(\epsilon, M) > 0, \|\vec{u}_0 - \vec{v}_0\|_X < \delta \text{ then } \|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\|_{X_T} < \epsilon,$$

$$\text{where } \|\vec{u}_0\|_X \leq M \text{ and } \|\vec{v}_0\|_X \leq M.$$

We say that the IVP is *globally well-posed* in X if the same properties hold for all time $T > 0$. If some hypothesis in the definition of local well-posedness fails, we say that the IVP is *ill-posed*.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we use Banach's fixed-point theorem in a suitable function space and the theory obtained by Kenig, Ponce, and Vega, to prove LWP to system (1.1), for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $3/4 < s \leq 1$. We also show that system (1.1) with $a \neq 0$ is ill-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \in [-1, -\frac{3}{4})$, and $s' \in \mathbb{R}$. We begin Section 3 with a few comments to scale changes carried out previously concerning the Gear-Grimshaw system. Thus, we introduce some Bourgain-type spaces $X_{s,b}^a$ for $a \neq 0$, and $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we prove some new mixed-bilinear estimates involving the two Bourgain-type spaces $X_{s,b}^1$ and $X_{s,b}^{-1}$ corresponding to $\partial_t - \partial_x^3$ and $\partial_t + \partial_x^3$ respectively, to obtain LWP for the Gear-Grimshaw system (3.1) with $r = 0$, $a_{12} = a_{21} = 0$, $a_{11} = -a_{22} \neq 0$, and initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > -3/4$ (see Theorem 3.2 below). We remark that these mixed-bilinear estimates (see Proposition 3.2) presented here are not an immediate consequence of the estimates proved by Kenig, Ponce, and Vega in [13] (see Remark 3.2 and Remark 3.4-ii.). Finally, we notice that system (1.1) is treated separately from system (1.5) because the nonlinearity in (1.1) has the non-divergence form, while the one in (1.5) has the divergence form; a possible difficulty with regard to the LWP of (1.1) in lower Sobolev indices could be related to the obtention of a suitable bilinear estimate for the nonlinear term in the second equation of (1.1).

Notation:

- $\hat{f} = \mathcal{F}f$: the Fourier transform of f (\mathcal{F}^{-1} : the inverse of the Fourier transform), where $\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{-i\xi x} f(x) dx$ for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\|\cdot\|_s, (\cdot, \cdot)_s$: the norm and the inner product respectively in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ (Sobolev space of order s of L^2 type), $s \in \mathbb{R}$. $\|f\|_s^2 \equiv \int (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$.
- $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_0$: the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ norm. (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

- $B(X, Y)$: set of bounded linear operators on X to Y . If $X = Y$ we write $B(X)$.
 $\|\cdot\|_{B(X,Y)}$: the operator norm in $B(X, Y)$.
- $L^p = \{f; f \text{ is measurable on } \mathbb{R}, \|f\|_{L^p} < \infty\}$, where $\|f\|_{L^p} = (\int |f(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$ if $1 \leq p < +\infty$, and $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|$, f is an equivalence class.
- $C(I; X)$: set of continuous functions on the interval I into the Banach space X .
- $\|f\|_{L_T^q L_x^p} \equiv (\int_{-T}^T \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L_x^p}^q dt)^{1/q}$, $\|f\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \equiv \|f\|_{L_T^q L_x^p}$ if $T = +\infty$.
- $\|f\|_{L_x^p L_T^q} \equiv \|(\int_{-T}^T |f(\cdot, t)|^q dt)^{1/q}\|_{L_x^p}$, $\|f\|_{L_x^p L_t^q} \equiv \|f\|_{L_x^p L_T^q}$ if $T = +\infty$.
- $\langle \xi \rangle \equiv 1 + |\xi|$, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Let A, B be two $n \times n$ matrices. $A \sim B$ iff $\exists T \in GL(n)$, $T^{-1}AT = B$.

2. ON THE HIROTA-SATSUMA SYSTEM

2.1. Local Well-Posedness. Let us denote by

$$U_a(t) = e^{at\partial_x^3}, \quad \widehat{U_a(t)\phi}(\xi) = e^{-iat\xi^3} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \text{ for } \phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad (2.1)$$

the group associated with the linear part of the first equation of system (1.1). We note that $U(t) \equiv U_{-1}(t)$ is the group associated with the linear part of the KdV equation. Next theorem proves LWP to system (1.1) in suitable Sobolev spaces.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a \neq 0$ and $3/4 < s \leq 1$. Then for any $u_0, v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, there exists $T = T(\|u_0\|_s, \|v_0\|_s) > 0$ (with $T(\rho, \eta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0$) and a unique solution (u, v) of problem (1.1) such that*

$$u, v \in C([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad (2.2)$$

$$u_x, v_x \in L_T^4 L_x^\infty, \quad (2.3)$$

$$D_x^s u_x, D_x^s v_x \in L_x^\infty L_T^2, \quad (2.4)$$

$$u, v \in L_x^2 L_T^\infty, \quad (2.5)$$

$$u_x, v_x \in L_x^\infty L_T^2. \quad (2.6)$$

For any $T' \in (0, T)$ there exist neighborhoods V of u_0 in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and V' of v_0 in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ such that the map $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{v}_0) \mapsto (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ from $V \times V'$ into the class defined by (2.2)-(2.6) with T' instead of T is Lipschitz.

If $u_0, v_0 \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ with $r > s$, then the above results hold with r instead of s in the same time interval.

Moreover, from the conservation laws (1.2) and (1.3) we can choose $T = +\infty$ at least for $s = 1$, for $a + 1 > 0$ and $b > 0$.

Proof. Let $\frac{3}{4} < s \leq 1$. Given $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T > 0$, let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_r^T(u) &\equiv \max_{[-T, T]} \|u(t)\|_r + \|u_x\|_{L_T^4 L_x^\infty} + \|D_x^r u_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} \\ &\quad + (1+T)^{-1/2} \|u\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Denote $\|(u, v)\| \equiv \Lambda_s^T(u) + \Lambda_s^T(v)$. We consider the space

$$X^T = \left\{ (u, v) \in C([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})) \times C([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})); \|(u, v)\| < \infty \right\}$$

and $X_M^T = \{(u, v) \in X^T; \|(u, v)\| \leq M\}$. Let us write the integral equations associated to problem (1.1)

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1(u, v)(t) = U_a(t)u_0 + \int_0^t U_a(t-t')(6auu_x + 2bv v_x)(t')dt', \\ \Phi_2(u, v)(t) = U(t)v_0 - 3 \int_0^t U(t-t')(uv_x)(t')dt'. \end{cases}$$

We will prove that $\Phi : X_M^T \mapsto X_M^T$, where $\Phi(u, v) \equiv (\Phi_1(u, v), \Phi_2(u, v))$, is a contraction map for suitably chosen M and T . We have the following inequalities:

$$\|U_a(t)u_0\|_r \leq c\|u_0\|_r \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\|D_x^r \partial_x U_a(t)u_0\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} \leq \frac{c}{|a|^{1/2}} \|D_x^r u_0\| \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\|\partial_x U_a(t)u_0\|_{L_T^4 L_x^\infty} \leq \frac{c}{|a|^{1/4}} \|u_0\|_r \quad \text{for } r \geq 3/4, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\|U_a(t)u_0\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty} \leq c_{(a,r)}(1+T)^{1/2} \|u_0\|_r \quad \text{for } r > 3/4. \quad (2.11)$$

Expression (2.8) is a group property. Inequality (2.9) is a consequence of Theorem 4.1 in [11]. Expression (2.10) follows from Theorem 2.1 in [11]. Estimate (2.11) is obtained by using Proposition 2.4 in [14]. It follows from (2.8)-(2.11) that $\Lambda_s^T(U_a(t)u_0) \leq c\|u_0\|_s$. Let $(u, v) \in X_M^T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_s^T(\Phi_1(u, v)) &\leq c\|u_0\|_s + c \int_0^T \|(uu_x)(\tau)\| d\tau + c \int_0^T \|D_x^s(uu_x)(\tau)\| d\tau \\ &\quad + c \int_0^T \|(vv_x)(\tau)\| d\tau + c \int_0^T \|D_x^s(vv_x)(\tau)\| d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Choose $M \equiv 4c(\|u_0\|_s + \|v_0\|_s)$. It follows that

$$\|uu_x\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \leq \|u_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} \|u\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty} \leq M^2(1+T)^{1/2}. \quad (2.13)$$

Now, by using Theorem A.12 in [12] and Hölder's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_x^s(uu_x)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} &\leq c \left\| \|u_x\|_{L_x^\infty} \|D_x^s u\|_{L_x^2} \right\|_{L_T^2} + \|u\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty} \|D_x^s u_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} \\ &\leq cT^{1/4} \|D_x^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \|u_x\|_{L_T^4 L_x^\infty} + M^2(1+T)^{1/2} \\ &\leq cM^2(T^{1/4} + (1+T)^{1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

By replacing (2.13) and (2.14) (and similar estimates for v) into (2.12) we obtain

$$\Lambda_s^T(\Phi_1(u, v)) \leq \frac{M}{4} + cM^2 T^{1/2} (T^{1/4} + (1+T)^{1/2}). \quad (2.15)$$

By choosing $T > 0$ small enough such that $T^{1/2}(T^{1/4} + (1+T)^{1/2}) \leq \frac{1}{4cM}$, it follows that $\Lambda_s^T(\Phi_1(u, v)) \leq \frac{M}{2}$. Similarly we have that $\Lambda_s^T(\Phi_2(u, v)) \leq \frac{M}{2}$. Then, for $M > 0$ and $T > 0$ chosen as above, Φ is a well-defined map from X_M^T to itself. Analogously, we prove that Φ is a contraction map. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [12]. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $a = 0$ and $3/4 < s \leq 1$. Then for any $u_0, v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, there exists $T = T(\|u_0\|_s, \|v_0\|_s) > 0$ (with $T(\rho, \eta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0$) and a unique solution (u, v) of problem (1.1) such that*

$$u, v \in C([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad (2.16)$$

$$v_x \in L_T^4 L_x^\infty, \quad (2.17)$$

$$D_x^s v_x \in L_x^\infty L_T^2, \quad (2.18)$$

$$u, v \in L_x^2 L_T^\infty, \quad (2.19)$$

$$v_x \in L_x^\infty L_T^2. \quad (2.20)$$

For any $T' \in (0, T)$ there exist neighborhoods V of u_0 in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and V' of v_0 in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ such that the map $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{v}_0) \mapsto (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ from $V \times V'$ into the class defined by (2.16)-(2.20) with T' instead of T is Lipschitz.

If $u_0, v_0 \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ with $r > s$, then the above results hold with r instead of s in the same time interval.

If $s = 1$ and $b > 0$, then we can choose $T = +\infty$.

Proof. Let $3/4 < s \leq 1$. Let $\Lambda_s^T(\cdot)$ be the norm defined by (2.7). Denote by

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_s^T(u) \equiv \max_{[-T, T]} \|u(t)\|_s + \|u\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty},$$

and $\|(u, v)\| \equiv \tilde{\Lambda}_s^T(u) + \Lambda_s^T(v)$. Let X^T and X_M^T be defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let us now consider $\Phi(u, v) \equiv (\Phi_1(u, v), \Phi_2(u, v))$, where

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1(u, v)(t) = u_0 + 2b \int_0^t (vv_x)(t') dt', \\ \Phi_2(u, v)(t) = U(t)v_0 - 3 \int_0^t U(t-t')(uv_x)(t') dt'. \end{cases}$$

Let $(u, v) \in X_M^T$. Then

$$\Lambda_s^T(\Phi_2(u, v)) \leq c \|v_0\|_s + c \int_0^T \|(uv_x)(\tau)\| d\tau + c \int_0^T \|D_x^s (uv_x)(\tau)\| d\tau.$$

We see that

$$\|uv_x\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \leq \|v_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} \|u\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty} \leq M^2.$$

Now, using Theorem A.12 in [12] and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_x^s (uv_x)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} &\leq c \left\| \|v_x\|_{L_x^\infty} \|D_x^s u\|_{L_x^2} \right\|_{L_T^2} + \|u\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty} \|D_x^s v_x\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} \\ &\leq c M^2 (1 + T^{1/4}). \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $M \equiv 6c(\|u_0\|_s + \|v_0\|_s)$ and $T > 0$ such that $T^{1/2}(T^{1/4} + (1+T)^{1/2}) \leq \frac{1}{6cM}$, we obtain $\Lambda_s^T(\Phi_2(u, v)) \leq \frac{M}{3}$ and $\max_{[-T, T]} \|\Phi_1(u, v)(t)\|_s \leq \frac{M}{3}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_1(u, v)\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty} &\leq \|u_0\| + 2b \left\| \int_0^T |(vv_x)(\tau)| d\tau \right\|_{L_x^2} \leq \|u_0\| + cT^{1/2} \|vv_x\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{6} + cT^{1/2} M^2 (1+T)^{1/2} \leq \frac{M}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|(\Phi(u, v))\| \leq M$. The rest of the proof is as for Theorem 2.1. \square

Remark 2.1. In [16], Sakovich considered the following system:

$$\begin{cases} u_{xxx} + auu_x + buv_x + cuv_x + dvv_x + mu_t + nv_t = 0, \\ v_{xxx} + evu_x + fvu_x + guv_x + hvv_x + pu_t + qv_t = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad v(0) = v_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

where $mq \neq np$. This system can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{xxx} \\ v_{xxx} \end{pmatrix} + A_0 \begin{pmatrix} uu_x \\ vu_x \end{pmatrix} + A_1 \begin{pmatrix} uv_x \\ vv_x \end{pmatrix} + A_2 \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ e & f \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ g & h \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} m & n \\ p & q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since A_2 is nonsingular, multiplying (2.22) by A_2^{-1} , we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} + A_2^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u_{xxx} \\ v_{xxx} \end{pmatrix} + A_2^{-1} A_0 \begin{pmatrix} uu_x \\ vu_x \end{pmatrix} + A_2^{-1} A_1 \begin{pmatrix} uv_x \\ vv_x \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

If $P \in GL(2)$ is such that $P^{-1}A_2^{-1}P = \text{diag}(a_0, a_1)$, where a_0 and a_1 are the eigenvalues of A_2^{-1} , by making $U = (u, v)^t = PV$, we obtain a new system of Hirota-Satsuma type. Therefore, similar results to Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are also valid for this new system.

2.2. Ill-Posedness to the Hirota-Satsuma System. Let us remark that if $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ are solutions of (1.1), then $\tilde{u}(x, t) = \lambda^2 u(\lambda x, \lambda^3 t)$ and $\tilde{v}(x, t) = \lambda^2 v(\lambda x, \lambda^3 t)$ are also solutions of (1.1). This scaling argument suggests that the Cauchy problem for the Hirota-Satsuma system is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s, s' > -\frac{3}{2}$. It is not difficult to see that the IVP associated to the KdV equation

$$\begin{cases} w_t + w_{xxx} + 6ww_x = 0, \\ w(x, 0) = w_0(x) \end{cases}$$

is equivalent to the IVP

$$\begin{cases} u_t - a(u_{xxx} + 6uu_x) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) = w_0(-x), \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

through the transformation $u(x, t) = w(-x, at)$, for $a \neq 0$. Note that if u is a solution of (2.23), then $(u, 0)$ is a solution of problem (1.1) with initial data $(u_0, 0)$. Then, it follows from the ill-posedness result for the KdV equation (see [5]) that the mapping data-solution associated to the IVP (1.1) with $a \neq 0$ is not uniformly continuous in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})$, for $s \in [-1, -\frac{3}{4}]$, and $s' \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. ON THE GEAR-GRIMSHAW SYSTEM

3.1. Initial Comments. (1) We consider the Gear-Grimshaw system given by

$$\begin{cases} u_t + a_{11}u_{xxx} + a_{12}v_{xxx} + b_1(uv)_x + b_2uu_x + b_3vv_x = 0, \\ v_t + a_{21}u_{xxx} + a_{22}v_{xxx} + rv_x + b_4(uv)_x + b_5uu_x + b_6vv_x = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad v(0) = v_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Suppose $r \neq 0$. Let A, B and $C(U)$ be the matrices (see [17]) defined by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}, \quad C(U) = \begin{pmatrix} b_2u + b_1v & b_1u + b_3v \\ b_5u + b_4v & b_4u + b_6v \end{pmatrix},$$

where $U = (u, v)^t$. Let $T \in GL(2)$ such that $T^{-1}AT = \text{diag}(\alpha_+, \alpha_-)$, where α_+ and α_- are the eigenvalues of A , and $\alpha_+, \alpha_- \in \mathbb{R}$. By making $U = TV$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} V_t(t, x) + \text{diag}(\alpha_+, \alpha_-)V_{xxx}(t, x) + B_1V_x(t, x) + C_1(V)(t, x)V_x(t, x) = 0, \\ V(0) = T^{-1}U_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where $B_1 = T^{-1}BT = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1,2\}}$, $C_1(V) = T^{-1}C(TV)T$ and $U_0 = U(0)$. Let $V = (v_1, v_2)^t$. If we make the scale change (supposing $\alpha_+ \neq 0, \alpha_- \neq 0$)

$$v_1(t, x) = w_1\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_+^{1/3}}\right), \quad v_2(t, x) = w_2\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_-^{1/3}}\right),$$

then $W = (w_1, w_2)^t$ satisfies the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_1 w_1\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_+^{1/3}}\right) + \partial_2^3 w_1\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_+^{1/3}}\right) + \frac{b_{11}}{\alpha_+^{1/3}} \partial_2 w_1\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_+^{1/3}}\right) + \frac{b_{12}}{\alpha_-^{1/3}} \partial_2 w_2\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_-^{1/3}}\right) + \dots = 0, \\ \partial_1 w_2\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_-^{1/3}}\right) + \partial_2^3 w_2\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_-^{1/3}}\right) + \frac{b_{21}}{\alpha_+^{1/3}} \partial_2 w_1\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_+^{1/3}}\right) + \frac{b_{22}}{\alpha_-^{1/3}} \partial_2 w_2\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_-^{1/3}}\right) + \dots = 0, \end{cases}$$

where ∂_i , for $i = 1, 2$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to the i -th variable. It should be noted that $\partial_2 w_1$ is evaluated at the point $(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_+^{1/3}})$ and $\partial_2 w_2$ is evaluated at the point $(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_-^{1/3}})$. Take $b_1 = \dots = b_6 = 0$ in (3.1). If $\alpha_+ \neq \alpha_-$, it follows that we should take care in any of the following cases:

- $b_{12} \neq 0$ and $\partial_2 w_2\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_-^{1/3}}\right) \neq \partial_2 w_2\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_+^{1/3}}\right)$,
- $b_{21} \neq 0$ and $\partial_2 w_1\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_+^{1/3}}\right) \neq \partial_2 w_1\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_-^{1/3}}\right)$.

(2) We now consider the following system ($C(U) \neq 0$ and $r = 0$ in (3.1)):

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxx} + a_3 v_{xxx} + uu_x + a_1 vv_x + a_2 (uv)_x = 0, \\ b_1 v_t + v_{xxx} + b_2 a_3 u_{xxx} + vv_x + b_2 a_2 uu_x + b_2 a_1 (uv)_x = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad v(0) = v_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2 are real constants, with $b_1, b_2 > 0$, $a_3 \neq 0$, and $a_3^2 b_2 \neq 1$. We define (see [1] and [15]): $\lambda = \{(1 - \frac{1}{b_1})^2 + \frac{4b_2 a_3^2}{b_1}\}^{1/2}$ and $\alpha_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{b_1} \pm \lambda)$.

Consider

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}(t, x) = \left(\frac{1-\alpha_-}{\lambda}\right)u\left(t, \alpha_+^{1/3}x\right) + \frac{a_3}{\lambda}v\left(t, \alpha_+^{1/3}x\right), \\ \tilde{v}(t, x) = \left(\frac{\alpha_+-1}{\lambda}\right)u\left(t, \alpha_-^{1/3}x\right) - \frac{a_3}{\lambda}v\left(t, \alpha_-^{1/3}x\right), \end{cases}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{cases} u(t, x) = \tilde{u}\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_+^{1/3}}\right) + \tilde{v}\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_-^{1/3}}\right), \\ v(t, x) = \left(\frac{\alpha_+-1}{a_3}\right)\tilde{u}\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_+^{1/3}}\right) - \left(\frac{1-\alpha_-}{a_3}\right)\tilde{v}\left(t, \frac{x}{\alpha_-^{1/3}}\right). \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

We note that this change of variable is equivalent to the one performed in item (1) for W . Take $b_1 = b_2 = 1$, $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ and $a_3 = 2$ in system (3.3). Then $\alpha_+ = 3$,

$\alpha_- = -1$ and $\lambda = 4$. By using (3.4), it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_1 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) + \partial_1 \tilde{v}(t, -x) + \partial_2^3 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) + \partial_2^3 \tilde{v}(t, -x) + \frac{1}{3^{1/3}} \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \partial_2 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \\ - \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \partial_2 \tilde{v}(t, -x) + \frac{1}{3^{1/3}} \partial_2 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \tilde{v}(t, -x) - \tilde{v}(t, -x) \partial_2 \tilde{v}(t, -x) = 0, \\ \partial_1 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) - \partial_1 \tilde{v}(t, -x) + \partial_2^3 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) - \partial_2^3 \tilde{v}(t, -x) + \frac{1}{3^{1/3}} \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \partial_2 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \\ + \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \partial_2 \tilde{v}(t, -x) - \frac{1}{3^{1/3}} \partial_2 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \tilde{v}(t, -x) - \tilde{v}(t, -x) \partial_2 \tilde{v}(t, -x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_1 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) + \partial_2^3 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) + \frac{1}{3^{1/3}} \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \partial_2 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) - \tilde{v}(t, -x) \partial_2 \tilde{v}(t, -x) = 0, \\ \partial_1 \tilde{v}(t, -x) + \partial_2^3 \tilde{v}(t, -x) - \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \partial_2 \tilde{v}(t, -x) + \frac{1}{3^{1/3}} \partial_2 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \tilde{v}(t, -x) = 0, \\ \tilde{u}(0, x) = \frac{1}{2} u_0(3^{1/3}x) + \frac{1}{2} v_0(3^{1/3}x), \\ \tilde{v}(0, x) = \frac{1}{2} u_0(-x) - \frac{1}{2} v_0(-x). \end{cases}$$

Notice that $-\tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \partial_2 \tilde{v}(t, -x) + \frac{1}{3^{1/3}} \partial_2 \tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \tilde{v}(t, -x) = \partial_x (\tilde{u}(t, \frac{x}{3^{1/3}}) \tilde{v}(t, -x))$, where $\partial_x \neq \partial_2$. It follows that, in general, system (3.3) cannot be written as

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_t + \tilde{u}_{xxx} + a\tilde{u}\tilde{u}_x + b\tilde{v}\tilde{v}_x + c(\tilde{u}\tilde{v})_x = 0, \\ \tilde{v}_t + \tilde{v}_{xxx} + \tilde{a}\tilde{u}\tilde{u}_x + \tilde{b}\tilde{v}\tilde{v}_x + \tilde{c}(\tilde{u}\tilde{v})_x = 0, \\ \tilde{u}(0, x) = (\frac{1-\alpha_-}{\lambda})u_0(\alpha_+^{1/3}x) + \frac{a_3}{\lambda}v_0(\alpha_+^{1/3}x), \\ \tilde{v}(0, x) = (\frac{\alpha_+-1}{\lambda})u_0(\alpha_-^{1/3}x) - \frac{a_3}{\lambda}v_0(\alpha_-^{1/3}x), \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where a, b, c and $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}$ are constants.

Remark 3.1. i.) To prove LWP to a system like (3.1) with $r = 0$, we can work with an equivalent system like (3.2) (see Remark 3.6). In this case and if $\alpha_+, \alpha_- \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we can consider the two groups $U_{-\alpha_+}(t) = e^{-(\alpha_+)t\partial_x^3}$ and $U_{-\alpha_-}(t) = e^{-(\alpha_-)t\partial_x^3}$ associated to the linear part of system (3.2) (see Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 for the case when $|\alpha_+| = |\alpha_-|$).

ii.) The LWP result obtained in [15] really corresponds to system (3.5). To prove LWP for the more general case corresponding to system (3.1) with $r = 0$, we could try to obtain some suitable bilinear estimates (see Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, and Remark 3.4-i.) for the case when $|\alpha_+| = |\alpha_-| \neq 0$).

3.2. Definition of $X_{s,b}^a$ -Spaces. Let $a \neq 0$. For $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $X_{s,b}^a$ is used to denote the completion of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|F\|_{X_{s,b}^a} \equiv \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle \tau + a\xi^3 \rangle^{2b} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\widehat{F}(\xi, \tau)|^2 d\xi d\tau \right)^{1/2}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\widehat{F}(\xi, \tau) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i(x\xi+t\tau)} F(x, t) dx dt$. It follows that $X_{s,b}^{-1}$ coincides with the usual Bourgain space $X_{s,b}$ for the KdV equation (see [4]).

Lemma 3.1. Let $b > 1/2$, $s \geq -3/2$, and $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a_0 \neq a_1$. Then

$$X_{s,b}^{a_0} \neq X_{s,b}^{a_1}.$$

Proof. First, we suppose that $a_0 \cdot a_1 < 0$. We may assume that $a_0 > 0$.

Case: $s > 1/2 - b$. Consider $v \in X_{s,b}^{a_1} \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$|\hat{v}(\xi, \tau)|^2 = \frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2s+2b} \langle \tau + a_1 \xi^3 \rangle^{4b}}.$$

Therefore

$$\|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_0}}^2 \geq c(b, a_0) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} \frac{\xi^{6b} d\xi d\tau}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2b} \langle \tau + a_1 \xi^3 \rangle^{4b}} = \infty.$$

Case: $-3/2 \leq s \leq 0$. Consider $u \in X_{s,b}^{a_1} \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$|\hat{u}(\xi, \tau)|^2 = \frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^d \langle \tau + a_1 \xi^3 \rangle^{4b}}, \quad d \in (1, 6b - 2).$$

Therefore

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_0}}^2 \geq c(b, a_0) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^2} \frac{\xi^{6b} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s-d} d\xi d\tau}{\langle \tau + a_1 \xi^3 \rangle^{4b}} = \infty.$$

The case $a_0 \cdot a_1 > 0$ follows from the case $a_0 \cdot a_1 < 0$ and from Lemma 4.1. \square

Remark 3.2. Lemma 3.1 implies that the two norms $\|\cdot\|_{X_{s,b}^1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{X_{s,b}^{-1}}$ are not equivalent for $s > -3/4$ and $b > 1/2$. Then, it follows that Proposition 3.2 below is not an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1.

3.3. Bilinear Estimates in $X_{s,b}^a$ -Spaces.

Proposition 3.1. Given $s > -\frac{3}{4}$ and $a \neq 0$, there exist $b' \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $\epsilon_s > 0$ such that for any $b \in (\frac{1}{2}, b' + 1]$ with $b' + 1 - b \leq \epsilon_s$

$$\|(uv)_x\|_{X_{s,b'}^a} \leq c_{(a,s,b,b')} \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^a} \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^a}. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. The result follows from Corollary 2.7 in [13], and from the fact that if $g(x, t) \equiv f(x, \frac{t}{-a})$ then $\widehat{g}(\xi, \tau) = |a| \widehat{f}(\xi, -a\tau)$. \square

The following lemma contains elementary calculus inequalities.

Lemma 3.2. If $b > 1/2$, then there exists $c_b > 0$ such that

$$\int \frac{dx}{(1 + |a||x^2 - \eta^2|)^{2b}} \leq \frac{c_b}{|a||\eta|}. \quad (3.8)$$

If $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$, and $\beta > 1$, then there exists $c_{(\alpha,\beta)} > 0$ such that

$$\int \frac{dx}{(1 + |x - a'|)^\alpha (1 + |x - a|)^\beta} \leq \frac{c_{(\alpha,\beta)}}{(1 + |a - a'|)^\alpha}. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. To prove (3.8) we consider the two integrals corresponding to $|x - \eta| > |\eta|$ and $|x - \eta| \leq |\eta|$. To prove (3.9) we may suppose $a' = 0$, then we consider the integrals corresponding to $|x| > |a|/2$ and $|x| \leq |a|/2$ (see (2.12) in [2]). \square

Next lemma will be useful for the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 3.3. If $s \in [-\frac{3}{4}, 0]$, $b' \leq \frac{s}{3} - \frac{1}{4}$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $c_{(s,b,b')} > 0$ such that

$$\phi_1(\xi, y) \equiv \frac{|\xi|^{3-4s}}{\langle \xi^3(y+2) \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \int \frac{|y+2|^{-2s} dx}{\langle \xi^3(y+3/4-x^2) \rangle^{2b}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')}. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. i.) First, we suppose $|y + \frac{3}{4}| > \frac{1}{4}$. Since $s \leq 0$ and $b \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\phi_1(\xi, y) \leq \frac{|\xi|^{3-4s}|y+2|^{-2s}}{\langle \xi^3(y+2) \rangle^{-2b'}} \int \frac{dx}{(1 + |\xi|^3|x^2 - (|y + 3/4|^{1/2})^2|)^{2b}}.$$

Since $b > 1/2$, it follows from (3.8) that

$$\phi_1(\xi, y) \leq c_b \frac{(|\xi|^3|y+2|)^{-4s/3}|y+2|^{-2s/3}}{\langle \xi^3(y+2) \rangle^{-2b'}|y+3/4|^{1/2}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')} \frac{|y+2|^{-2s/3}}{|y+3/4|^{1/2}},$$

where in the last inequality we have used $b' \leq \frac{s}{3} - \frac{1}{4}$ and $s \geq -\frac{3}{4}$.

The case $|y+2| \leq 5/2$ is immediate. If $|y+2| > 5/2$, then $|y+3/4| \geq |y+2| - 5/4 > |y+2|/2$; hence $\phi_1(\xi, y) \leq c_{(s,b,b')}|y+2|^{-\frac{2s}{3}-\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')}$, for $s \geq -3/4$.

ii.) Second, we suppose $-\frac{1}{4} \leq y + \frac{3}{4} \leq 0$. Since $s \leq 0$ and $b > \frac{1}{4}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(\xi, y) &\leq c_b \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}-2s}|y+2|^{-2s}}{\langle \xi^3(y+2) \rangle^{-2b'}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx}{(1 + |\xi|^3(|y + \frac{3}{4}|^{\frac{1}{2}} + x)^2)^{2b}} \\ &\leq c_b \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}-2s}|y+2|^{-2s}}{\langle \xi^3(y+2) \rangle^{-2b'}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dz}{(1 + z^2)^{2b}} \leq c_b \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}-2s}|y+2|^{-2s}}{\langle \xi^3(y+2) \rangle^{-2b'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 \leq y+2 \leq \frac{5}{4}$, $b' \leq 0$, and $s \leq 0$, it follows from the last inequality that

$$\phi_1(\xi, y) \leq c_{(s,b)} \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}-2s}}{\langle \xi^3 \rangle^{-2b'}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')},$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of the fact that $b' \leq \frac{s}{3} - \frac{1}{4}$.

iii.) Finally, we consider the case $0 < y + \frac{3}{4} \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Since $\frac{5}{4} < y+2 \leq \frac{3}{2}$, $s \leq 0$ and $b' \leq \frac{s}{3} - \frac{1}{4}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(\xi, y) &\leq c_s \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}-2s}}{(1 + |\xi|^3)^{-2b'}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx}{(1 + |\xi|^3(y + \frac{3}{4})|1 - \frac{x^2}{y+3/4}|)^{2b}} \\ &\leq c_{(s,b,b')} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}}(y + \frac{3}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}} dz}{(1 + |\xi|^3(y + \frac{3}{4})|1 - z^2|)^{2b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we split the last integral into two parts, namely $|z| \leq \sqrt{2}$ and $|z| > \sqrt{2}$. Since $2b > \frac{1}{2}$, it follows that

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}}(y + \frac{3}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}} dz}{(1 + |\xi|^3(y + \frac{3}{4})|1 - z^2|)^{2b}} \leq \int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \frac{dz}{|1 - z^2|^{1/2}} \leq c \int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \frac{dz}{|1 - z|^{1/2}} \leq c.$$

On the other hand, since $z^2 > 2$ implies $z^2 - 1 > z^2/2$, and by making the change of variable $x = |\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}}(y + \frac{3}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}} z$, it follows that

$$\int_{\sqrt{2}}^{+\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}}(y + \frac{3}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}} dz}{(1 + |\xi|^3(y + \frac{3}{4})|1 - z^2|)^{2b}} \leq c_b \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{(1 + x^2)^{2b}} = c_b.$$

□

The next eight lemmas will be used for proving Proposition 3.2.

Lemma 3.4. *If $b' \leq -\frac{1}{4}$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $c_b > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{|\xi|}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-b'}} \left(\iint \frac{d\xi_1 d\tau_1}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^{2b}} \right)^{1/2} \leq c_b. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Since $b > 1/2$, it follows from (3.9) that

$$\int \frac{d\tau_1}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^{2b}} \leq \frac{c_b}{\langle \tau - \xi^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^{2b}}.$$

Then, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{|\xi|^2}{(1 + |\tau + \xi^3|)^{-2b'}} \int \frac{d\xi_1}{(1 + |\tau - \xi^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|)^{2b}} \leq c.$$

By making the change of variable $\tau = \xi^3(1+z)$, we need now to verify the following:

$$\frac{|\xi|^2}{(1 + |\xi^3||z+2|)^{-2b'}} \int \frac{d\xi_1}{(1 + |\xi^3z + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|)^{2b}} \leq c.$$

By performing the change of variable $\xi_1 = \xi x$ inside the last integral, and $z = 3y$, and since $x - x^2 = \frac{1}{4} - (x - \frac{1}{2})^2$, it is not difficult to see that the expression we need to prove now is the following

$$\phi(\xi, y) \equiv \frac{|\xi|^3}{(1 + |\xi|^3|3y+2|)^{-2b'}} \int \frac{dx}{(1 + |\xi|^3|y + 1/4 - x^2|)^{2b}} \leq c.$$

i.) First, we consider the case $|y + 1/4| > 1/12$. Then

$$\phi(\xi, y) \leq \frac{|\xi|^3}{(1 + |\xi|^3|3y+2|)^{-2b'}} \int \frac{dx}{(1 + |\xi|^3|x^2 - (|y + 1/4|^{1/2})^2|)^{2b}} \leq c_b,$$

where in the last inequality we have used (3.8) and $b' \leq 0$.

ii.) Second, we assume $-1/3 \leq y \leq -1/4$. Since $1 + |\xi|^3|3y+2| \geq 1 + |\xi|^3$ and $b' \leq -1/4$, it follows that $\frac{|\xi|^{3/2}}{(1 + |\xi|^3|3y+2|)^{-2b'}} \leq \frac{|\xi|^{3/2}}{(1 + |\xi|^3)^{-2b'}} \leq 1$. Then

$$\phi(\xi, y) \leq c_b \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{3/2} dx}{(1 + |\xi|^3(|y + 1/4|^{1/2} + x)^2)^{2b}} \leq c_b \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dz}{(1 + z^2)^{2b}} \leq c_b.$$

iii.) Finally, we suppose $-1/4 < y \leq -1/6$. Since $b' \leq -1/4$, and by making the change of variable $x = (y + 1/4)^{1/2}z$, we get

$$\phi(\xi, y) \leq c \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{3/2}(y + 1/4)^{1/2} dz}{(1 + |\xi|^3(y + 1/4)|1 - z^2|)^{2b}} \leq c,$$

where in the last inequality we have used the following estimates. Since $b \geq 1/4$, it follows that $(|\xi|^3(y + 1/4)|1 - z^2|)^{1/2} \leq (1 + |\xi|^3(y + 1/4)|1 - z^2|)^{2b}$. Then

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \frac{|\xi|^{3/2}(y + 1/4)^{1/2} dz}{(1 + |\xi|^3(y + 1/4)|1 - z^2|)^{2b}} \leq \int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \frac{dz}{|1 - z|^{1/2}|1 + z|^{1/2}} \leq c.$$

Moreover, since $z^2 - 1 > z^2/2$ for $z > \sqrt{2}$, and $b > 1/2$, it follows that

$$\int_{\sqrt{2}}^{+\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{3/2}(y + 1/4)^{1/2} dz}{(1 + |\xi|^3(y + 1/4)|1 - z^2|)^{2b}} \leq \int_{\sqrt{2}}^{+\infty} \frac{2|\xi|^{3/2}(y + 1/4)^{1/2} dz}{1 + |\xi|^3(y + 1/4)z^2} \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{2dx}{1 + x^2}.$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *If $s \in [-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}]$, $b' \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{3} - \frac{1}{4}]$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $c_{(s,b,b')} > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{|\xi|}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-s}} \left(\iint_A \frac{|\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} d\tau_1 d\xi_1}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^{2b}} \right)^{1/2} \leq c_{(s,b,b')}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $A = A(\xi, \tau)$ is defined as

$$A = \{(\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2; |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3| \leq |\tau + \xi^3|\}.$$

Proof. We denote by χ_D the characteristic function of the set D . We remark that $A \subset C \times \mathbb{R}$, where $C = C(\xi, \tau) \equiv \{\xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}; |\tau - \xi^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq 2|\tau + \xi^3|\}$. By using (3.9) which is valid for $b > 1/2$, it is enough to get a constant upper bound on the following expression

$$\tilde{\phi}(\xi, \tau) \equiv \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \int \frac{|\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} \chi_{C(\xi, \tau)}(\xi_1) d\xi_1}{\langle \tau - \xi^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^{2b}}.$$

From now on we assume $\xi \neq 0$. By making $\tau = \xi^3(1 + y)$, we see that it is sufficient to get an upper bound to

$$\tilde{\phi}_1(\xi, y) \equiv \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi^3(y + 2) \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \int \frac{|\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} \chi_{C(\xi, \xi^3(1+y))}(\xi_1) d\xi_1}{\langle \xi^3 y + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^{2b}}.$$

Now, we make the change of variable $\xi_1 = \xi x$. Since $x - x^2 = \frac{1}{4} - (x - \frac{1}{2})^2$, we get

$$\tilde{\phi}_1(\xi, y) \leq \frac{|\xi|^{3-4s}}{\langle \xi^3(y + 2) \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \int \frac{|(x - \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{1}{4}|^{-2s} \chi_{D_y}(x) dx}{\langle \xi^3(y + 3(\frac{1}{4} - (x - \frac{1}{2})^2)) \rangle^{2b}},$$

where $D_y = \{x; |y + 3(x - x^2)| \leq 2|y + 2|\}$. We denote by E_y the set given by $\{x; |y + 3/4 - 3x^2| \leq 2|y + 2|\}$, then we need an upper bound on the quantity

$$\phi(\xi, y) \equiv \frac{|\xi|^{3-4s}}{\langle \xi^3(y + 2) \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \int \frac{|x^2 - \frac{1}{4}|^{-2s} \chi_{E_y}(x) dx}{\langle \xi^3(y + 3/4 - 3x^2) \rangle^{2b}}.$$

i.) First, we suppose $|y + 2| > 1$. We remark that $|y - 3x^2 + \frac{3}{4}| \leq 2|y + 2|$ implies $|x^2 - \frac{1}{4}|^{-2s} \leq c_s |y + 2|^{-2s} + c_s$, for $s \leq 0$. If $\phi_1(\xi, y)$ is given by (3.10), then we get

$$\phi(\xi, y) \leq c_s \phi_1(\xi, y) \left(1 + \frac{1}{|y + 2|^{-2s}}\right) \leq c_{(s, b, b')},$$

where in the last inequality we have used Lemma 3.3.

ii.) Now, we assume $|y + 2| \leq 1$. In E_y we have that $|y - 3x^2 + \frac{3}{4}| \leq 2|y + 2| \leq 2$, then $0 \leq x^2 \leq \frac{7}{12}$. Hence $E_y \subset [-1, 1]$. Moreover, $|(y + 2) - (3x^2 + \frac{5}{4})| \leq 2|y + 2|$ implies $|x^2 - \frac{1}{4}| \leq \frac{5}{4} \leq 3(x^2 + \frac{5}{12}) \leq 3|y + 2|$. Therefore, since $s \leq 0$, we see that

$$\phi(\xi, y) \leq \frac{c_s |\xi|^{3-4s}}{\langle \xi^3(y + 2) \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \int_0^1 \frac{|y + 2|^{-2s} dx}{\langle \xi^3(y + 3/4 - 3x^2) \rangle^{2b}} \leq c_{(s, b, b')},$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of (3.10). \square

Lemma 3.6. *If $s \in (-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}]$, $b' \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$, and $b > \frac{1}{2}$ with $b' - b \leq \min\{-s - \frac{3}{2}, s - \frac{1}{6}\}$, then there exists $c_{(s, b, b')} > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^b} \left(\iint_B \frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)} |\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} d\xi d\tau}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s} \langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^{2b}} \right)^{1/2} \leq c_{(s, b, b')}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $B = B(\xi_1, \tau_1)$ is defined as

$$B = \{(\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2; |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|, |\tau + \xi^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|\}.$$

Proof. We remark that in B : $|\tau_1 + 2\xi^3 - \xi_1^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq 2|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|$. By the inequality (3.9), it is sufficient to get an upper bound on the expression

$$I(B') = \frac{1}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^b} \left(\int_{B'} \frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)} |\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} d\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s} \langle \tau_1 + 2\xi^3 - \xi_1^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^{-2b'}} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $B' = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}; |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau_1 + 2\xi^3 - \xi_1^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq 2|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|\}$. It is not difficult to see that $B' = B'_1 \cup B'_2$, where $B'_1 = \{\xi \in B'; |2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|\}$ and $B'_2 = \{\xi \in B'; \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3| \leq |2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq 3|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|\}$.

i.) In B'_1 we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3| &\leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3 + 2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|, \\ |\xi| &\leq |2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq |2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|.$$

Since $b' \leq 0$, and $-\frac{3}{4} < s \leq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I(B'_1) &\leq \frac{c_{b'}}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b-b'}} \left(\int_{B'_1} \frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)} |\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} d\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{c_{b'}}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b-b'+s}} \left(\int_0^{|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|} (1 + \xi)^{2+4s} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{c_{(s,b')}}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b-b'-\frac{3}{2}-s}} \leq c_{(s,b')}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the fact that $b' - b \leq -s - \frac{3}{2}$.

ii.) First, we remark that in B'_2 we have that

$$3|\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq |2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq 3|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|.$$

We define the function $\mu(\xi) = \mu_{\xi_1, \tau_1}(\xi) \equiv \tau_1 + 2\xi^3 - \xi_1^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)$, for $\xi \in B'$.

We remark that $\mu'(\xi) = 3(\xi - \xi_1)^2 + 3\xi^2 = 6(\xi - \frac{1}{2}\xi_1)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\xi_1^2$. Now, we decompose B'_2 into two parts: $B'_{2,1}$ and $B'_{2,2}$.

Let $B'_{2,1} \equiv \{\xi \in B'_2; 1 \leq |\xi_1| \leq 10|\xi|\}$. In this set we get:

$$1 + |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3| \leq |\xi_1|^3 + 2|2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq c|\xi|^3.$$

Moreover, since $-1 \leq s \leq -\frac{1}{2}$, it follows from the last inequality that

$$\frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \leq \frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(-2s-1)}} \leq \frac{c_s}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}(-2s-1)}}.$$

Since $\mu'(\xi) \geq 3\xi^2$, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\mu'(\xi)} \leq \frac{1}{3\xi^2} \leq \frac{c}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}}}, \quad \text{for } \xi \in B'_{2,1}.$$

Then, since $b' > -\frac{1}{2}$, and $-1 \leq s \leq -1/2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(B'_{2,1}) &\leq \frac{c_s}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b+\frac{1}{3}s}} \left(\int_{B'_{2,1}} \frac{\mu'(\xi) d\xi}{\langle \mu(\xi) \rangle^{-2b'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_s}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b+\frac{1}{3}s}} \left(\int_{|\mu| \leq 2|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|} \frac{d\mu}{\langle \mu \rangle^{-2b'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_{(s,b')}}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b-b'+\frac{1}{3}s-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq c_{(s,b')}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of $b' - b \leq -s - \frac{3}{2}$, and $s \geq -\frac{3}{4}$.

Finally, we consider $B'_{2,2} \equiv \{\xi \in B'_2; 10|\xi| \leq |\xi_1|\}$. Since $-1 \leq s \leq -\frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \leq \frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2(-2s-1)}} \leq 1.$$

Moreover, in $B'_{2,2}$ we have that

$$1 + |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3| \leq |\xi_1|^3 + 2|2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq c|\xi_1|^3.$$

Since $\mu'(\xi) \geq \frac{3}{2}\xi_1^2$, we see that

$$\frac{1}{\mu'(\xi)} \leq \frac{c}{\xi_1^2} \leq \frac{c}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{\frac{2}{3}}}, \quad \text{for } \xi \in B'_{2,2}.$$

Then, by using $b' > -\frac{1}{2}$, $-1 \leq s \leq -1/2$, and $b' - b \leq s - \frac{1}{6}$, we see that

$$I(B'_{2,2}) \leq \frac{c_{b'}}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b-b'+s-\frac{1}{6}}} \leq c_{b'}.$$

□

Lemma 3.7. *If $b' \leq 0$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $c_b > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{|\xi|}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-b'}} \left(\iint \frac{d\xi_1 d\tau_1}{\langle \tau_1 + \xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^{2b}} \right)^{1/2} \leq c_b. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Since $b > \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from (3.9) that

$$\int \frac{d\tau_1}{\langle \tau_1 + \xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^{2b}} \leq \frac{c_b}{\langle \tau - \xi^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) + 2\xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b}}.$$

Then, it suffices to prove that

$$\frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-2b'}} \int \frac{d\xi_1}{\langle \tau - \xi^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) + 2\xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b}} \leq c.$$

By making the change of variable $\tau = \xi^3(1+z)$, and then $\xi_1 = \xi x$ inside the integral, it suffices to bound

$$\phi(\xi, z) \equiv \frac{|\xi|^3}{(1 + |\xi|^3|z+2|)^{-2b'}} \int \frac{dx}{(1 + |\xi|^3|z+3(x-x^2)+2x^3|)^{2b}}.$$

We define the function $\mu(x) = \mu_z(x) \equiv 2x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x + z$. Then $\mu'(x) = 6(x - \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{3}{2} \geq \frac{3}{2}$. Since $b' \leq 0$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, it follows that

$$\phi(\xi, z) \leq \frac{c|\xi|^3}{\langle \xi^3(z+2) \rangle^{-2b'}} \int \frac{\mu'_z(x) dx}{\langle \xi^3 \mu_z(x) \rangle^{2b}} \leq c|\xi|^3 \int \frac{d\mu}{\langle \xi^3 \mu \rangle^{2b}} = c_b.$$

□

Lemma 3.8. *If $s \in [-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}]$, $b' \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{3} - \frac{1}{4}]$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $c_{(s,b)} > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{|\xi|}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-s}} \left(\iint_{A_1} \frac{|\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} d\tau_1 d\xi_1}{\langle \tau_1 + \xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^{2b}} \right)^{1/2} \leq c_{(s,b)}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $A_1 = A_1(\xi, \tau)$ is defined as

$$A_1 = \{(\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2; |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 + \xi_1^3| \leq |\tau + \xi^3|\}.$$

Proof. We remark that $A_1 \subset C \times \mathbb{R}$, where $C = C(\xi, \tau) = \{\xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}; |\tau - \xi^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) + 2\xi_1^3| \leq 2|\tau + \xi^3|\}$. Since $b > \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from (3.9) that it is enough to get an upper bound to

$$\frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \int \frac{|\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} \chi_{C(\xi, \tau)}(\xi_1) d\xi_1}{\langle \tau - \xi^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) + 2\xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b}}.$$

We assume $\xi \neq 0$. Now, we make $\tau = \xi^3(1 + y)$, and $\xi_1 = \xi x$. Since $s \leq 0$, it follows that it suffices to bound

$$\phi(\xi, y) \equiv \frac{|\xi|^{3-2s}}{\langle \xi^3(y+2) \rangle^{-2b'}} \int \frac{|x - x^2|^{-2s} \chi_{D_y}(x) dx}{\langle \xi^3(y + 3(x - x^2) + 2x^3) \rangle^{2b}},$$

where $D_y = \{x; |y + 3(x - x^2) + 2x^3| \leq 2|y + 2|\}$. We remark that $|x^2 - x| \leq |2x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x - 2|$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $|x - x^2| \leq 3|y + 2|$, for $x \in D_y$. We denote by $\mu(x) = \mu_y(x) \equiv 2x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x + y$.

i.) First, we suppose $|y + 2| \leq 1$. It is not difficult to see that $D_y \subset [-1, 2]$. We now assume that $|\xi| \leq 1$. Since $\mu'(x) \geq \frac{3}{2}$, $s \leq 0$, $b' \leq 0$, and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, we have that

$$\phi(\xi, y) \leq c_s \int_{-1}^2 \frac{|\xi|^3 \mu'_y(x) dx}{\langle \xi^3 \mu_y(x) \rangle^{2b}} \leq c_s \int \frac{dz}{\langle z \rangle^{2b}} = c_{(s,b)}.$$

Next, we consider the case $|\xi| > 1$. Since $s \leq 0$ and $b' \leq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\xi, y) &\leq c_s \frac{|\xi|^{3-2s}}{|\xi|^{-3b'} |y + 2|^{-b'}} \int \frac{|y + 2|^{-2s} dx}{\langle \xi^3 \mu_y(x) \rangle^{2b}} \\ &\leq c_s |\xi|^{-2s+3b'} |y + 2|^{-2s+b'} \int \frac{dw}{\langle w \rangle^{2b}} \leq c_{(s,b)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of $b > \frac{1}{2}$, $-\frac{1}{2} \leq b' \leq \frac{s}{3} - \frac{1}{4}$, $-\frac{3}{4} \leq s \leq -\frac{1}{4}$.

ii.) Finally, we assume $|y + 2| > 1$. Since $\mu'(x) = 6(x^2 - x) + 3 \geq |x^2 - x|$, and $s \leq -\frac{1}{2}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\xi, y) &\leq c_s \frac{|\xi|^{3-2s} |y + 2|^{-2s-1}}{\langle \xi^3(y+2) \rangle^{-2b'}} \int_{D_y} \frac{\mu'_y(x) dx}{\langle \xi^3 \mu_y(x) \rangle^{2b}} \\ &\leq c_s (1 + |\xi|^3 |y + 2|)^{-\frac{2s}{3} + 2b'} |y + 2|^{-\frac{4s}{3} - 1} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dw}{\langle w \rangle^{2b}} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $b' \leq \frac{s}{3}$, $s \geq -\frac{3}{4}$, and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, we have that $\phi(\xi, y) \leq c_{(s,b)}$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *If $s \in (-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}]$, $b' \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$, and $b > \frac{1}{2}$ with $b' - b \leq \min\{-s - \frac{3}{2}, s - \frac{1}{6}\}$, then there exists $c_{(s,b,b')} > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\langle \tau_1 + \xi_1^3 \rangle^b} \left(\iint_{B_1} \frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)} |\xi \xi_1 (\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} d\xi d\tau}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s} \langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^{2b}} \right)^{1/2} \leq c_{(s,b,b')}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $B_1 = B_1(\xi_1, \tau_1)$ is defined as

$$B_1 = \{(\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2; |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|, |\tau + \xi^3| \leq |\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|\}.$$

Proof. We remark that in B_1 : $|\tau_1 + 2\xi^3 - \xi_1^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq 2|\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|$. Since $b > \frac{1}{2}$ and $b' \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$, it follows from (3.9) that it suffices to bound

$$I(\tilde{B}_1) = \frac{1}{\langle \tau_1 + \xi_1^3 \rangle^b} \left(\int_{\tilde{B}_1} \frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)} |\xi \xi_1 (\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} d\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s} \langle \tau_1 + 2\xi^3 - \xi_1^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^{-2b'}} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\tilde{B}_1 = \tilde{B}_1(\xi_1, \tau_1) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}; |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau_1 + 2\xi^3 - \xi_1^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq 2|\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|\}$. We split $\tilde{B}_1 = \tilde{B}_{1,1} \cup \tilde{B}_{1,2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{1,1} &= \{\xi \in \tilde{B}_1; |2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) - 2\xi_1^3| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \tilde{B}_{1,2} &= \{\xi \in \tilde{B}_1; \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 + \xi_1^3| \leq |2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) - 2\xi_1^3| \leq 3|\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|\}. \end{aligned}$$

i.) In $\tilde{B}_{1,1}$ we have that:

$$\frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 + \xi_1^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3 + 2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|.$$

Since $2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) - 2\xi_1^3 = (\xi - \xi_1)(2\xi^2 - \xi\xi_1 + 2\xi_1^2)$, we also have that

$$|\xi| \leq |\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq |2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) - 2\xi_1^3| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|.$$

Since $b' \leq 0$, and $-\frac{3}{4} < s \leq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\tilde{B}_{1,1}) &\leq \frac{c_{b'}}{\langle \tau_1 + \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b-b'+s}} \left(\int_0^{|\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|} (1 + \xi)^{2+4s} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{c_{(s,b')}}{\langle \tau_1 + \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b-b'-\frac{3}{2}-s}} \leq c_{(s,b')}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the fact that $b' - b \leq -s - \frac{3}{2}$.

ii.) In $\tilde{B}_{1,2}$ we have that

$$|\xi| \leq |\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq |2\xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) - 2\xi_1^3| \leq 3|\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|.$$

We define the function $\mu(\xi) = \mu_{\xi_1, \tau_1}(\xi) \equiv \tau_1 + 2\xi^3 - \xi_1^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)$. Then $\mu'(\xi) = 3(\xi - \xi_1)^2 + 3\xi^2 = 6(\xi - \frac{1}{2}\xi_1)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\xi_1^2$. We see that $\tilde{B}_{1,2} = \tilde{B}_{1,2}^1 \cup \tilde{B}_{1,2}^2$, where $\tilde{B}_{1,2}^1 \equiv \{\xi \in \tilde{B}_{1,2}; 1 \leq |\xi_1| \leq 10|\xi|\}$, and $\tilde{B}_{1,2}^2 \equiv \{\xi \in \tilde{B}_{1,2}; 10|\xi| \leq |\xi_1|\}$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.6-ii.). Since $-\frac{3}{4} \leq s \leq -\frac{1}{2}$, $b' > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $b' - b \leq -s - \frac{3}{2}$, it follows that $I(\tilde{B}_{1,2}^1) \leq c_{(s,b')}$. Finally, since $-1 \leq s \leq -\frac{1}{2}$, $b' > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $b' - b \leq s - \frac{1}{6}$, we have that $I(\tilde{B}_{1,2}^2) \leq c_{(s,b')}$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *If $s \in [-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}]$, $b' \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{3} - \frac{1}{4}]$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $c_{(s,b)} > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{|\xi|}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-s}} \left(\iint_{A_2} \frac{|\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} d\tau_1 d\xi_1}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b} \langle \tau - \tau_1 + (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^{2b}} \right)^{1/2} \leq c_{(s,b)}, \quad (3.17)$$

where $A_2 = A_2(\xi, \tau)$ is defined as

$$A_2 = \{(\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2; |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau - \tau_1 + (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3| \leq |\tau + \xi^3|\}.$$

Proof. It is not difficult to see that $A_2 \subset C \times \mathbb{R}$, where $C = C(\xi, \tau) = \{\xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}; |\tau + \xi^3 - 3\xi_1\xi(\xi - \xi_1) - 2\xi_1^3| \leq 2|\tau + \xi^3|\}$. Since $b > \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from (3.9) that it suffices to bound

$$\frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \int \frac{|\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} \chi_{C(\xi, \tau)}(\xi_1) d\xi_1}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 - 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) - 2\xi_1^3 \rangle^{2b}}.$$

We assume $\xi \neq 0$. Then we make $\tau = \xi^3(-1 + y)$ and $\xi_1 = \xi x$. Since $s \leq 0$, we see that it is sufficient to bound

$$\phi(\xi, y) \equiv \frac{|\xi|^{3-2s}}{\langle \xi^3 y \rangle^{-2b'}} \int \frac{|x - x^2|^{-2s} \chi_{E_y}(x) dx}{\langle \xi^3(y - 3(x - x^2) - 2x^3) \rangle^{2b}},$$

where $E_y = \{x; |y - 3(x - x^2) - 2x^3| \leq 2|y|\}$. We remark that $|x^2 - x| \leq |2x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x|$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $|x - x^2| \leq 3|y|$, for $x \in E_y$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.8.

i.) If $|y| \leq 1$, then $E_y \subset [-1, 2]$. First, we suppose that $|\xi| \leq 1$. Since $s \leq 0$, $b' \leq 0$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, it follows that $\phi(\xi, y) \leq c_{(s,b)}$. Next, we assume that $|\xi| > 1$. Since $s \in [-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}]$, $b' \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{3} - \frac{1}{4}]$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain that $\phi(\xi, y) \leq c_{(s,b)}$.

ii.) Since $s \in [-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}]$, $b' \leq \frac{s}{3}$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, we get $\phi(\xi, y) \leq c_{(s,b)}$ for $|y| > 1$. \square

Lemma 3.11. *If $s \in (-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}]$, $b' \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$, and $b > \frac{1}{2}$ with $b' - b \leq \min\{-s - \frac{3}{2}, \frac{s}{3} - \frac{3}{4}\}$, then there exists $c_{(s,b,b')} > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^b} \left(\iint_{B_2} \frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)} |\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} d\xi d\tau}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s} \langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-2b'} \langle \tau - \tau_1 + (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^{2b}} \right)^{1/2} \leq c_{(s,b,b')}, \quad (3.18)$$

where $B_2 = B_2(\xi_1, \tau_1)$ is defined as

$$B_2 = \{(\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2; |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau - \tau_1 + (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|, |\tau + \xi^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|\}.$$

Proof. In B_2 we have that $|\tau_1 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) + \xi_1^3| \leq 2|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|$. Since $b > \frac{1}{2}$ and $b' \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$, it follows from (3.9) that it is sufficient to bound

$$L(\tilde{B}_2) = \frac{1}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^b} \left(\int_{\tilde{B}_2} \frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)} |\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-2s} d\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s} \langle \tau_1 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) + \xi_1^3 \rangle^{-2b'}} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\tilde{B}_2 = \tilde{B}_2(\xi_1, \tau_1) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}; |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau_1 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) + \xi_1^3| \leq 2|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|\}$. We see that $\tilde{B}_2 = \tilde{B}_{2,1} \cup \tilde{B}_{2,2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{2,1} &= \{\xi \in \tilde{B}_2; |2\xi_1^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \tilde{B}_{2,2} &= \{\xi \in \tilde{B}_2; \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3| \leq |2\xi_1^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq 3|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|\}. \end{aligned}$$

i.) In $\tilde{B}_{2,1}$ we have that

$$\frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3| \leq |\tau_1 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) + \xi_1^3|, \quad \text{and}$$

$$|\xi| \leq |\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq |2\xi_1^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|.$$

Since $b' \leq 0$, $-\frac{3}{4} < s \leq 0$ and $b' - b \leq -s - \frac{3}{2}$, it follows that $L(\tilde{B}_{2,1}) \leq c_{(s,b')}$.

ii.) In $\tilde{B}_{2,2}$ we see that

$$|\xi| \leq |\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq |2\xi_1^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq 3|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|.$$

We define the function $\mu(\xi) = \mu_{\xi_1, \tau_1}(\xi) \equiv \tau_1 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) + \xi_1^3$.

First, we consider $\tilde{B}_{2,2}^1 = \{\xi \in \tilde{B}_{2,2}; \frac{|\xi|}{4} \leq |\xi_1| \leq 100|\xi|\}$. In this set we have that

$$\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle \leq |\xi_1|^3 + 2|2\xi_1^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq c|\xi|^3.$$

Since $s \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}]$, it follows that

$$\frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \leq \langle \xi \rangle^{2+4s} \leq c_s \langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3}s}.$$

Since $3\xi_1(-\xi_1^3 - 4\tau_1 + 4\mu) = (6\xi_1\xi - 3\xi_1^2)^2$, it follows that $|\mu'(\xi)| = |6\xi_1\xi - 3\xi_1^2| = \sqrt{3\xi_1(-\xi_1^3 - 4\tau_1 + 4\mu)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L(\tilde{B}_{2,2}^1) &\leq \frac{c_s}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b + \frac{s}{3} - \frac{1}{3}}} \left(\int_{|\mu| \leq 2|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|} \frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{|\xi_1| |-\xi_1^3 - 4\tau_1 + 4\mu|} \langle \mu \rangle^{-2b'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{c_s \langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{-b - \frac{s}{3} + \frac{1}{3}}}{|\xi_1|^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left(\int_{|\mu| \leq 2|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|} \frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{|\xi_1^3/4 + \tau_1 - \mu|} \langle \mu \rangle^{2(1-(1+b'))}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c_{(s,b')} \frac{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{-b - \frac{s}{3} + b' + \frac{5}{6}}}{|\xi_1|^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle \xi_1^3 + 4\tau_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} \leq c_{(s,b')} \frac{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{-b - \frac{s}{3} + b' + \frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{12}}}{\langle \xi_1^3 + 4\tau_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} \\ &\leq c_{(s,b')} \langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{-b - \frac{s}{3} + b' + \frac{5}{6}} \leq c_{(s,b')}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality above we have used (2.11) in [13], and $b' > -\frac{1}{2}$; the

last inequality above is a consequence of the fact that $b' - b \leq \frac{s}{3} - \frac{3}{4}$.

Secondly, we consider $\tilde{B}_{2,2}^2 = \{\xi \in \tilde{B}_{2,2}; 1 \leq |\xi_1| \leq \frac{|\xi|}{4}\}$. In this set we have

$$\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle \leq c|\xi|^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \leq c_s \langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3}s}.$$

Since $s \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}]$ and $b' > -\frac{1}{2}$, it follows that

$$L(\tilde{B}_{2,2}^2) \leq c_{(s,b')} \frac{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{-b - \frac{s}{3} + b' + \frac{5}{6}}}{|\xi_1|^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle \xi_1^3 + 4\tau_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

If $b' - b - \frac{s}{3} + \frac{5}{6} \leq 0$, then $L(\tilde{B}_{2,2}^2) \leq c_{(s,b')}$. Thus, we suppose that $b' - b - \frac{s}{3} + \frac{5}{6} \geq 0$.

Now, we make $\tau_1 = \xi_1^3(z - 1)/4$. Hence

$$L(\tilde{B}_{2,2}^2) \leq c_{(s,b')} \frac{\langle \xi_1^3(\frac{z-5}{4}) \rangle^{-b - \frac{s}{3} + b' + \frac{5}{6}}}{|\xi_1|^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle \xi_1^3 z \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

Suppose first that $|z| < 1$. Then

$$L(\tilde{B}_{2,2}^2) \leq c_{(s,b,b')} \frac{\langle \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b'-b-\frac{s}{3}+\frac{5}{6}}}{|\xi_1|^{\frac{1}{4}}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')} |\xi_1|^{3(b'-b-\frac{s}{3}+\frac{5}{6})-\frac{1}{4}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')},$$

where in the last inequality we have used the fact that $b' - b \leq \frac{s}{3} - \frac{3}{4}$.

Now assume that $|z| \geq 1$. We see that

$$L(\tilde{B}_{2,2}^2) \leq c_{(s,b,b')} \frac{\langle \xi_1^3(z-5) \rangle^{b'-b-\frac{s}{3}+\frac{5}{6}}}{\langle \xi_1^3 z \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')} \langle \xi_1^3 z \rangle^{b'-b-\frac{s}{3}+\frac{7}{12}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')},$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of $b' - b \leq \frac{s}{3} - \frac{3}{4}$.

Finally, we consider the set $\tilde{B}_{2,2}^3 = \{\xi \in \tilde{B}_{2,2}; 100|\xi| \leq |\xi_1|\}$. In $\tilde{B}_{2,2}^3$ we have

$$\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle \leq |\xi_1|^3 + 2|2\xi_1^3 + 3\xi\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)| \leq c|\xi_1|^3,$$

and $|\mu'(\xi)| = |6\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) + 3\xi_1^2| \geq (\frac{3 \times 99}{50} - 3)\xi_1^2 \geq 2\xi_1^2$. Moreover, the fact that $s \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}]$ implies that

$$\frac{|\xi|^{2(1+s)}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-2s}} \leq \langle \xi \rangle^{2+4s} \leq 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} L(\tilde{B}_{2,2}^3) &\leq \frac{c_s}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b+s} |\xi_1|} \left(\int_{\tilde{B}_{2,2}^3} \frac{|\mu'(\xi)| d\xi}{\langle \mu(\xi) \rangle^{-2b'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_s}{\langle \tau_1 - \xi_1^3 \rangle^{b+s+\frac{1}{3}}} \left(\int_{|\mu| \leq 2|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|} \frac{d\mu}{\langle \mu \rangle^{-2b'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_{(s,b')}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used $b' > -\frac{1}{2}$, $b' - b \leq \frac{s}{3} - \frac{3}{4}$ and $s > -\frac{3}{4}$. \square

Remark 3.3. *It is not difficult to see that $\min\{-s - \frac{3}{2}, \frac{s}{3} - \frac{3}{4}\} \leq \min\{-s - \frac{3}{2}, s - \frac{1}{6}\}$, for $s \geq -\frac{7}{8}$.*

The following proposition is the main result of this subsection.

Proposition 3.2. *Given $s > -\frac{3}{4}$, there exist $b' \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $\epsilon_s > 0$ such that for any $b \in (\frac{1}{2}, b' + 1]$ with $b' + 1 - b \leq \epsilon_s$*

$$\|(v\nu)_x\|_{X_{s,b'}^1} \leq c_{(s,b,b')} \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{-1}}^2, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\|(u\nu)_x\|_{X_{s,b'}^{-1}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')} \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^1}^2, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\|(u\nu)_x\|_{X_{s,b'}^1} \leq c_{(s,b,b')} \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^1} \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{-1}}, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\|(u\nu)_x\|_{X_{s,b'}^{-1}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')} \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^1} \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{-1}}, \quad (3.22)$$

where $c_{(s,b,b')}$ is a positive constant depending on s , b , and b' .

Proof. Similar to the proof of Corollary 2.7 in [13]. Here, we use Lemmas 3.4-3.6 to prove (3.19) and (3.20); the positive number ϵ_s is given by

$$\epsilon_s = \begin{cases} \min\{-s - \frac{1}{2}, s + \frac{5}{6}\}, & s \in (-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}), \\ \frac{1}{4}, & s \geq 0, \\ \min\{-s' - \frac{1}{2}, s' + \frac{5}{6}\}, & s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0), \end{cases}$$

where s' is any fixed number in the interval $(-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2})$.

Lemmas 3.7-3.11 are used to prove (3.21) and (3.22). Now, we will sketch a proof of (3.21). We denote by f and g the functions given by $f(\xi, \tau) := \langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^b \langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{u}(\xi, \tau)$, and $g(\xi, \tau) := \langle \tau - \xi^3 \rangle^b \langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{v}(\xi, \tau)$. Then $\|f\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2} = \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^1}$, and $\|g\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2} = \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{-1}}$. The case $s \geq 0$ follows from Lemma 3.7 and from the inequality $\langle \xi \rangle^s \leq \langle \xi_1 \rangle^s \langle \xi - \xi_1 \rangle^s$. Suppose now that $-\frac{3}{4} < s < -\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\|(uv)_x\|_{X_{s,b'}^1} \leq c_{(s,b)} \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^1} \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{-1}} + c_s \sum_{j=1}^4 \|I_j\|_{L_\xi^2 L_\tau^2},$$

where the first term on the right-hand side of the last inequality corresponds to the case when $|\xi_1| \leq 1$ or $|\xi - \xi_1| \leq 1$ (which reduces to the case $s = 0$), and

$$I_j := \frac{|\xi|}{\langle \tau + \xi^3 \rangle^{-b'} \langle \xi \rangle^{-s}} \iint_{C_j} \frac{|f(\xi_1, \tau_1)| |g(\xi - \xi_1, \tau - \tau_1)| |\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{-s} d\xi_1 d\tau_1}{\langle \tau_1 + \xi_1^3 \rangle^b \langle (\tau - \tau_1) - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 \rangle^b},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \{(\xi_1, \tau_1); |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |(\tau - \tau_1) - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 + \xi_1^3| \leq |\tau + \xi^3|\}, \\ C_2 &= \{(\xi_1, \tau_1); |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |(\tau - \tau_1) - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|, \\ &\quad |\tau + \xi^3| \leq |\tau_1 + \xi_1^3|\}, \\ C_3 &= \{(\xi_1, \tau_1); |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau_1 + \xi_1^3| \leq |(\tau - \tau_1) - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau + \xi^3|\}, \\ C_4 &= \{(\xi_1, \tau_1); |\xi_1| \geq 1, |\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1, |\tau_1 + \xi_1^3| \leq |(\tau - \tau_1) - (\xi - \xi_1)^3|, \\ &\quad |(\tau - \tau_1) - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \geq |\tau + \xi^3|\}. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Lemmas 3.8-3.11. The case $s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ follows from the last case and from the inequality $\langle \xi \rangle^{s-s'} |\xi_1(\xi - \xi_1)|^{s'-s} \leq c$, which holds for $|\xi_1| \geq 1$ and $|\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1$, where s' is any fixed number belonging to $(-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2})$. Then

$$\epsilon_s = \begin{cases} \min\{-s - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{3} + \frac{1}{4}\}, & s \in (-\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}), \\ \frac{1}{2}, & s \geq 0, \\ \min\{-s' - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{s'}{3} + \frac{1}{4}\}, & s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0). \end{cases}$$

□

Remark 3.4. i.) Suppose $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. By making similar calculations as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, it follows that Proposition 3.2 still holds if we replace the super-indices 1 by a and -1 by $-a$ and the constant $c_{(s,b,b')}$ by $c_{(a,s,b,b')}$.

ii.) Consider the bilinear estimate, $\|(uv)_x\|_{X_{s,b'}^{-1}} \leq c_{(s,b,b')} \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^{-1}} \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{-1}}$, of Kenig, Ponce, and Vega [13]. In the case $|\xi_1| \geq 1$ and $|\xi - \xi_1| \geq 1$, by symmetry it is possible to assume that $|\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3| \leq |\tau_1 - \xi_1^3|$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.2-[13]), and then we need to consider only two regions of integration A and B (see Lemmas 2.5-[13] and 2.6-[13] respectively). We note, however, that in the proof of (3.21) and (3.22) there is no such symmetry to assume and for this reason the four regions of integration C_1, \dots, C_4 (and Lemmas 3.8-3.11) were considered.

3.4. Local Well-Posedness to the Gear-Grimshaw System. From now on we consider a cut-off function $\psi \in C^\infty$, such that $0 \leq \psi(t) \leq 1$ and

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |t| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |t| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

We define $\psi_T(t) \equiv \psi(t/T)$. To prove Theorem 3.1 we need the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $-\frac{1}{2} < b' \leq 0 \leq b \leq b' + 1$, $T \in [0, 1]$, $a \neq 0$. Then*

$$\|\psi_1(t)U_a(t)u_0\|_{X_{s,b}^a} = c_{(b,\psi)} \|u_0\|_s, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\|\psi_T(t) \int_0^t U_a(t-t')F(t', \cdot)dt'\|_{X_{s,b}^a} \leq c_{(b,b',\psi)} T^{b'+1-b} \|F\|_{X_{s,b'}^a}, \quad (3.24)$$

where $\widehat{U_a(t)u_0}(\xi) = \exp\{-iat\xi^3\}\widehat{u_0}(\xi)$.

Proof. (3.23) is obvious. The proof of (3.24) is practically done in [8]. \square

We now prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *The IVP (3.1) with $r = 0$ such that $A = (a_{ij}) \sim aI$ for some $a \neq 0$ is locally well-posed for data $(u_0, v_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -3/4$.*

Proof. The proof follows from the theory developed by Bourgain [4] and Kenig, Ponce and Vega [13]. Since $A \sim aI$, it follows that $a_{11} = a_{22} = a \neq 0$, and $a_{12} = a_{21} = 0$. Let

$$F(u, v) = b_1(uv)_x + b_2uu_x + b_3vv_x, \quad G(u, v) = b_4(uv)_x + b_5uu_x + b_6vv_x.$$

We will consider (3.1) in its equivalent integral form. Let $U_{-a}(t)$ be the unitary group associated with the linear part of (3.1). We consider

$$\Phi(u, v)(t) = (\Phi_1(u, v)(t), \Phi_2(u, v)(t)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(u, v)(t) &= \psi(t)U_{-a}(t)u_0 - \psi_T(t) \int_0^t U_{-a}(t-t')F(u, v)(t')dt', \\ \Phi_2(u, v)(t) &= \psi(t)U_{-a}(t)v_0 - \psi_T(t) \int_0^t U_{-a}(t-t')G(u, v)(t')dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s > -3/4$. Let b, b' be two numbers given by Proposition 3.1, such that $\epsilon \equiv b' + 1 - b > 0$. We will prove that $\Phi(u, v)$ is a contraction in the following space

$$X_{s,b,a}^M = \{(u, v) \in X_{s,b}^{-a} \times X_{s,b}^{-a}; \|(u, v)\|_{X_{s,b}^{-a} \times X_{s,b}^{-a}} \leq M\},$$

where $\|(u, v)\|_{X_{s,b}^{-a} \times X_{s,b}^{-a}} \equiv \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^{-a}} + \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{-a}}$. First we will prove that $\Phi : X_{s,b,a}^M \mapsto X_{s,b,a}^M$. Let $(u, v) \in X_{s,b,a}^M$. By using Propositions 3.3, 3.1 and the definitions of $F(u, v)$ and $X_{s,b,a}^M$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_1(u, v)\|_{X_{s,b}^{-a}} &\leq C \|u_0\|_s + CT^\epsilon \|F(u, v)\|_{X_{s,b'}^{-a}} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{4} + CT^\epsilon M^2 \leq \frac{M}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we took $M = 4C(\|u_0\|_s + \|v_0\|_s)$ and $CT^\epsilon M = 1/4$. In a similar way we have

$$\|\Phi_2(u, v)\|_{X_{s,b}^{-a}} \leq M/2.$$

Therefore $\|\Phi(u, v)\|_{X_{s,b}^{-a} \times X_{s,b}^{-a}} \leq M$. A similar argument proves that Φ is a contraction. We conclude the proof by a standard argument. \square

Remark 3.5. Consider the IVP (3.1) under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1. By making the scale change of variables $\tilde{u}(t, x) \equiv u(t, a^{1/3}x)$ and $\tilde{v}(t, x) \equiv v(t, a^{1/3}x)$ we can avoid consideration of the modified Bourgain-type spaces $X_{s,b}^a$ to prove local-well posedness for data $u_0, v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > -3/4$.

Remark 3.6. Here, we keep the notations of Section 3.1-(1). Suppose that $r = 0$ in system (3.1). Suppose also that $A = (a_{ij}) \sim \text{diag}(\alpha_+, \alpha_-)$, where α_+ and α_- are the eigenvalues of A , with $\alpha_+, \alpha_- \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha_+ \neq \alpha_-$. Suppose moreover that the formula $\partial_x(u(t)v(t)) = \partial_x u(t)v(t) + u(t)\partial_x v(t)$ holds for all $t \in [0, T]$ (this is true for example if $s > 1/2$, and $u(t), v(t) \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, for all $t \in [0, T]$). Under these assumptions, we will show that it is possible to obtain system (3.2) from system (3.1), with $C_1(V)V_x$ containing only terms of the form $(v_1v_1)_x$, $(v_2v_2)_x$ and $(v_1v_2)_x$, where $V = (v_1, v_2)^t$. If $a_{12} = a_{21} = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Then, we suppose that $a_{12} \neq 0$; the case $a_{21} \neq 0$ is similar. The matrices T and T^{-1} are given by

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \frac{\alpha_+ - a_{11}}{a_{12}} & \frac{\alpha_- - a_{11}}{a_{12}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^{-1} = \frac{a_{12}}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_-} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{11} - \alpha_-}{a_{12}} & 1 \\ \frac{\alpha_+ - a_{11}}{a_{12}} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $V = (\frac{a_{11} - \alpha_-}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_-}u + \frac{a_{12}}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_-}v, \frac{\alpha_+ - a_{11}}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_-}u - \frac{a_{12}}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_-}v)^t$. Now, we see that

$$C_1(V)V_x = \frac{a_{12}}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_-} \begin{pmatrix} av_1 + bv_2 & bv_1 + cv_2 \\ dv_1 + ev_2 & ev_1 + fv_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x v_1 \\ \partial_x v_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where a, b, c, d, e, f are real constants depending on b_k , $k = 1, \dots, 6$, $a_{i,j}$, $i, j = 1, 2$, α_+ and α_- . The result now follows.

Theorem 3.2. The IVP (3.1) with $r = 0$ such that $a_{12} = a_{21} = 0$, $a_{11} = -a_{22} \neq 0$ is locally well-posed for data $(u_0, v_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -3/4$.

Proof. Without loss of generality (see Remark 3.4-i.), we consider the case $a_{11} = -1$ and $a_{22} = 1$. Let

$$F(u, v) = b_1(uv)_x + b_2uu_x + b_3vv_x, \quad G(u, v) = b_4(uv)_x + b_5uu_x + b_6vv_x.$$

We consider $\Phi(u, v)(t) = (\Phi_1(u, v)(t), \Phi_2(u, v)(t))$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(u, v)(t) &= \psi(t)U_1(t)u_0 - \psi_T(t) \int_0^t U_1(t-t')F(u, v)(t')dt', \\ \Phi_2(u, v)(t) &= \psi(t)U_{-1}(t)v_0 - \psi_T(t) \int_0^t U_{-1}(t-t')G(u, v)(t')dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s > -3/4$. Let b, b' be two numbers given by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, with $\epsilon \equiv b' + 1 - b > 0$. Proceeding in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, using Propositions 3.1-3.3, it follows that $\Phi(u, v)$ is a contraction in the following space

$$\mathcal{X}_{s,b}^M = \{(u, v) \in X_{s,b}^1 \times X_{s,b}^{-1}; \|(u, v)\|_{X_{s,b}^1 \times X_{s,b}^{-1}} \leq M\},$$

$$\|(u, v)\|_{X_{s,b}^1 \times X_{s,b}^{-1}} \equiv \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^1} + \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{-1}}, M = 4C(\|u_0\|_s + \|v_0\|_s) \text{ and } CT^\epsilon M = \frac{1}{4}. \quad \square$$

The following result is an immediate consequence of the last theorem.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $s > -\frac{3}{4}$. Suppose that $r = 0$ in (3.1). Suppose also that $A = (a_{ij}) \sim \text{diag}(\alpha_+, \alpha_-)$, where α_+ and α_- are the eigenvalues of A with $\alpha_+, \alpha_- \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha_+ = -\alpha_-$. Then the IVP (3.1) with $r = 0$ is LWP for data $u_0, v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$.*

3.5. Future Work. Suppose $a, a' \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $|a| \neq |a'|$. We remark that an interesting problem for a future research is to determine whether or not Proposition 3.2 is still true when we replace the super-indices 1 by a and -1 by a' . We point out that this result (in general) is not an immediate consequence of the calculations we did here for proving Propositions 3.1 or 3.2 or from the calculations done in [13] to prove Corollary 2.7-[13]. This result would let us to prove LWP for the Gear-Grimshaw system (3.1) with $r = 0$, when $a_{12} = a_{21} = 0$, $|a_{11}| \neq |a_{22}|$, and $a_{11}, a_{22} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, if this result is true, we also could obtain LWP for system (3.1) with $r = 0$, when $A = (a_{ij}) \sim \text{diag}(\alpha_+, \alpha_-)$, where α_+ and α_- are the eigenvalues of A with $\alpha_+, \alpha_- \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $|\alpha_+| \neq |\alpha_-|$.

4. APPENDIX

Here we prove some properties of $X_{s,b}^a$ -spaces.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $b \geq 0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and a_0, a_1 as in Lemma 3.1. Then for all $a \neq 0$*

$$X_{s,b}^{a_0} \cap X_{s,b}^{a_1} \subset X_{s,b}^a, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b}^a} \leq c_{(a,a_0,a_1,b)}(\|u\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_0}} + \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_1}}).$$

First proof. Let v be an element of $X_{s,b}^{a_0} \cap X_{s,b}^{a_1}$. Then

$$\|v\|_{X_{s,b}^a}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^4 \int_{A_j} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} \langle \tau + a\xi^3 \rangle^{2b} |\hat{v}(\xi, \tau)|^2 d\xi d\tau = \sum_{j=1}^4 I_j,$$

where

$$A_1 = \{(\xi, \tau); \xi \geq 0, \tau \geq 0\}, \quad A_2 = \{(\xi, \tau); \xi \leq 0, \tau \leq 0\},$$

$$A_3 = \{(\xi, \tau); \xi > 0, \tau < 0\}, \quad A_4 = \{(\xi, \tau); \xi < 0, \tau > 0\}.$$

We consider the case $a > 0$, $a_0 > 0$, and $a_1 < 0$; a similar argument works in the other cases. It is not difficult to prove, considering regions A_1 and A_2 , that

$$I_1 + I_2 \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{2b} \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_0}}^2.$$

To estimate I_3 and I_4 we consider

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau + a\xi^3| &\leq |\tau + a_0\xi^3| + \left| a_0\xi^3 - \frac{a}{|a_1|}\tau \right| + a \left| \xi^3 - \frac{1}{|a_1|}\tau \right| \\ &\leq |\tau + a_0\xi^3| + \frac{a_0 + a}{|a_1|} |\tau + a_1\xi^3| + \frac{a}{|a_1|} |\tau + a_1\xi^3|, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$I_3 + I_4 \leq c_b \left(1 + \frac{a_0 + a}{|a_1|} \right)^{2b} (\|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_0}}^2 + \|v\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_1}}^2).$$

Second proof. We claim that for all $x, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{1 + |\tau + ax|}{(1 + |\tau + a_0x|) + (1 + |\tau + a_1x|)} \leq \left\langle \frac{a - a_0}{a_1 - a_0} \right\rangle. \quad (4.1)$$

We will first prove that for all $\xi \geq 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$J(\xi, t) \equiv \frac{\xi + |t + a|}{(\xi + |t + a_0|) + (\xi + |t + a_1|)} \leq 1 + \frac{|a - a_0|}{|a_1 - a_0|}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since

$$\xi + |t + a| \leq \xi + |t + a_0 + a - a_0| \leq \xi + |t + a_0| + |a - a_0|,$$

it follows that

$$J(\xi, t) \leq 1 + \frac{|a - a_0|}{(\xi + |t + a_0|) + (\xi + |t + a_1|)} \leq 1 + \frac{|a - a_0|}{|t + a_0| + |t + a_1|}.$$

Taking $t = x - (a_0 + a_1)/2$, $c_0 = (a_1 - a_0)/2$ and $x = w c_0$, we see that

$$\frac{1}{|t + a_0| + |t + a_1|} = \frac{1}{|x - c_0| + |x + c_0|} \leq \frac{1}{|c_0|} \frac{1}{|w - 1| + |w + 1|}.$$

Since the function

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{|w - 1| + |w + 1|} = \begin{cases} 1/(2w) & \text{if } w \geq 1, \\ 1/2 & \text{if } -1 \leq w \leq 1, \\ -1/(2w) & \text{if } w \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

satisfies $0 \leq f(w) \leq 1/2$, (4.2) follows. To prove (4.1) we take $\xi = 1/|x|$ and $t = \tau/x$ into (4.2). Hence

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b}^a} \leq c_b \left\langle \frac{a - a_0}{a_1 - a_0} \right\rangle^b (\|u\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_0}} + \|u\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_1}}).$$

□

Thus we can define $X_{s,b}^{a_0, a_1} \equiv X_{s,b}^{a_0} \cap X_{s,b}^{a_1}$ with norm given by $\|w\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_0, a_1}} \equiv \|w\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_0}} + \|w\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_1}}$, for $b \geq 0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a_0 \neq a_1$.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $b \geq 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let a_0, \dots, a_3 be nonzero real numbers such that $a_0 \neq a_1$, $a_2 \neq a_3$. Then*

$$X_{s,b}^{s,b} \equiv X_{s,b}^{a_0} \cap X_{s,b}^{a_1} = X_{s,b}^{a_2} \cap X_{s,b}^{a_3}.$$

Moreover, there exist constants $c_0 \equiv c_0(a_0, \dots, a_3, b)$, $c_1 \equiv c_1(a_0, \dots, a_3, b) > 0$, such that

$$c_0 \|w\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_0, a_1}} \leq \|w\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_2, a_3}} \leq c_1 \|w\|_{X_{s,b}^{a_0, a_1}}.$$

Remark 4.1. *Suppose $b \geq 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\varphi \in H^b(\mathbb{R})$ and $u_0 \in H^{s+3b}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\varphi(t)u_0(x) \in \mathcal{X}^{s,b}$.*

Acknowledgements. An earlier version of this paper was written while the authors had Post-doctoral positions at IMECC-UNICAMP. The first author wishes to thank David Lannes and Thierry Colin (Université Bordeaux I and CNRS) for their hospitality and very fruitful conversations about Nonlinear Evolution Equations; special thanks also go to Jerry Bona (UIC) for very helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Ash, J. Cohen, G. Wang, *On strongly interacting internal solitary waves*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 2 (1996), no. 5, 507-517.
- [2] D. Bekiranov, T. Ogawa, G. Ponce, *Weak solvability and well-posedness of a coupled Schrödinger-Korteweg de Vries equation for capillary-gravity wave interactions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), no. 10, 2907-2919.
- [3] J. L. Bona, G. Ponce, J. C. Saut, M. Tom, *A model system for strong interaction between internal solitary waves*, Comm. Math. Phys. 143 (1992), no. 2, 287-313.
- [4] J. Bourgain, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. II. The KdV-equation*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 3 (1993), no. 3, 209-262.
- [5] M. Christ, J. Colliander, T. Tao, *Asymptotics, frequency modulation, and low regularity ill-posedness for canonical defocusing equations*, Amer. J. Math. 125 (2003), no. 6, 1235-1293.
- [6] X. Feng, *Global well-posedness of the initial value problem for the Hirota-Satsuma system*, Manuscripta Math. 84 (1994), no. 3-4, 361-378.
- [7] J. A. Gear, R. Grimshaw, *Weak and strong interactions between internal solitary waves*, Stud. Appl. Math. 70 (1984), no. 3, 235-258.
- [8] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi, G. Velo, *On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system*, J. Funct. Anal. 151 (1997), no. 2, 384-436.
- [9] P. F. He, *Global solutions for a coupled KdV system*, J. Partial Differential Equations 2 (1989), no. 1, 16-30.
- [10] R. Hirota, J. Satsuma, *Soliton solutions of a coupled Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Phys. Lett. A 85 (1981), no. 8-9, 407-408.
- [11] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, *Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 40 (1991), no. 1, 33-69.
- [12] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, *Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 46 (1993), no. 4, 527-620.
- [13] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, *A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), no. 2, 573-603.
- [14] C. Laurey, *The Cauchy problem for a third order nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinear Anal. 29 (1997), no. 2, 121-158.
- [15] F. Linares, M. Panthee, *On the Cauchy problem for a coupled system of KdV equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 3 (2004), no. 3, 417-431.
- [16] S. Yu. Sakovich, *Coupled KdV equations of Hirota Satsuma type*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 6 (1999), no. 3, 255-262.
- [17] J. C. Saut, N. Tzvetkov, *On a model system for the oblique interaction of internal gravity waves*, M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 34 (2000), no. 2, 501-523.

E-mail address: balvarez@math.u-bordeaux1.fr, balvarez@math.uic.edu

E-mail address: carvajal@ime.unicamp.br